

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/801,327 02/18/97 MULLER В 1-20161/A/CO

IM51/0828

EXAMINER

JOANN VILLAMIZAR CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION EINSMANN, M

P 0 BOX 2005

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

520 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591-9005

1751

RECEIVED DATE MAILED:

08/28/98

SEP

ANDREA DECECCHIS DOCKET COORDINATOR PATENT DEPARTMENT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	RECEIVED
Period for Response		SEP 1 1998
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	ANDREA DECECCHIS MONTH(S) PROMETIE OORDINATO PATENT DEPARTMENT
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response wi 	rs, a response within the stat lefault, expire SIX (6) MONT	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel
Status	0.0	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- 98	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19		
Disposition of Claims		
		is/ore pending in the emplication
\Box Claim(s) $\frac{2-3}{3}$ $\frac{5-12}{16-18}$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s	RANSMIT TO US	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITED AND is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)	RANSMIT TO US TO CHED ABROA AL PERTINENT A	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITATION AND SATE OF SATE O
Of the above claim(s)	RANSMIT TO US TO CHED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITATION AND SAME of the consideration of election or election.
Of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)	RANSMIT TO US TO GIVED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE ng Review, PTO-948.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMED allowed. DANDis/are rejected. RT OFis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)	RANSMIT TO US TO SEE ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN TO SEE AWARE TO SEE ABROA TO SEE ABR	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITATION AND is/are rejected. ART OF is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) VHIGH YO Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	RANSMIT TO US TO SEE ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN TO SEE AWARE TO SEE ABROA TO SEE ABR	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITATION AND is/are rejected. ART OF is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s	RANSMIT TO US TO SEE ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN TO SEE AWARE TO SEE ABROA TO SEE ABR	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDITATION AND is/are rejected. ART OF is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s	RANSMIT TO US T CHED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PRO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDAN Dis/are allowed. DAN Dis/are rejected. RT Ofis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s	RANSMIT TO US T CITED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT A TO US TO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDIA D ANDis/are allowed. D ANDis/are rejected. ART Ofis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) 2-3 5-10, 16-18 PLEASE. TATELY AR Claim(s) 11, 12 ADDITION WHIGH YO Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the company of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. Prioricy claim(s) PLEASE. The priority of the company of the certification of the ce	RANSMIT TO US T CITED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT A TO US TO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDIA D ANDis/are allowed. D ANDis/are rejected. ART Ofis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) 2-3, 5-10, 16-18 PLEASE. TATELY AR Claim(s) 11, 12 ADDITION WHIGH YO Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority using a claim for foreig	RANSMIT TO US T CITED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT A TO US TO	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDIA D ANDis/are allowed. D ANDis/are rejected. ART Ofis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s)	TRANSMIT TO US TOTIED ABROA AL PERTINENT A OU ARE AWARE IN A PERTINENT A OU ARE AWARE IN	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDIA D ANDis/are allowed. D ANDis/are rejected. ART Ofis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) 2-3 5-10, 16-18 PLEASE TATELY AR Claim(s) 11, 12 ADDITION NHIGH YO Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under and accepted. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interpretation of the Certified copies not received:	TRANSMIT TO US TOTIED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A TOTIED ABROA AL PERTINENT A DU ARE AWARE IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT A IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERTINENT ABROA IN PROPERT	is/are withdrawn from consideration. IMMEDIA DANDis/are allowed. DANDis/are rejected. ART OFis/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. O(d). DCCKETED have been Rule 1 7.2(a)). Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit:1751

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 7/14/98 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/801,327 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

In a telephone conversation with Kevin Mansfield on August 25,

1998, this office was advised that no amendment is forthcoming at this time.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same

Art Unit:1751

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The request filed on 1/30/98 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/801,327 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

 In a telephone conversation with Kevin Mansfield on August 25, 1998, this office was advised that no amendment is forthcoming at this time.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Art Unit:1751

3. Claims 2-3,6-10,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Harms, GB 2,034,731.

Harms discloses water soluble reactive dyestuffs inclusive of applicant's dyestuff 1 when it contains the selected alkyl radicals as the B_1 aliphatic bridge member. Harms teaches that such bridge may be a straight or branch chain alkylene having 2-15 carbon atoms. See page 1 especially line 29 to page 2 line 5.

Harms differs from formula 1 in failing to exemplify the specific five and six carbon isomers as claimed herein.

The subject matter would have been obvious to the skilled artisan absent a showing of criticality because it is clear from the examples of bridge members exemplified in the disclosure from page 1 lines 32 to page 2 that five and six carbon alkyl isomers are preferred embodimentss. Applicants' dyes containing isomers are equivalent to the isomers disclosed on pages 1 and 2 of Harms. Note that structurally similar compounds are generally expected to have similar properties. In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d 1012, 201 USPQ 552. Closely related homologs, analogs and isomers in chemistry may create a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Dillon USPQ 2d 1897, 1904 (Fed. Cir. 1990); In re Payne 203 USPQ 245 (CCPA 1979); In re Mills 126 USPQ 513 (CCPA 1960); In re Henze 85 USPQ 261 (CCPA 1950); In re Hass 60

Art Unit:1751

USPQ 544 (CCPA 1944). The abstract discloses the utility for dyeing hydroxyl and nitrogen containing fiber materials.

This rejection is maintained as applied in the office actions of 03/05/96 and 11/19/96 in the parent application 08/541,009 for the reasons which follow.

Applicants have responded to this rejection by presenting a declaration by Dr. Bernhard Muller. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 8/29/96 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of all the claims at issue based upon the insufficiency of the comparisons presented. Said declaration compares dyestuff 78 of GB-A-2,034,731, wherein B_1 is a three carbon alkyl component to applicants' claimed dyestuff where B_1 is a five carbon alkyl. Applicants claim three variations of B₁ which are five or six carbon isomers and one which is a three carbon alkyl chain substituted by a hydroxyl group. If applicants were to compare dye 78 of GB-A-2,034,731 with applicants' claimed B₁ component which is a three carbon chain substituted by hydroxyl and show unexpected results, that would be deemed to be a comparison of the closest art to that particular claimed component. The five and six carbon isomers are clearly favored by Harms for the bridge member, being listed on page 1 line 33 to page 2 line 2 no less than ten times. Examples 48 and 74 of Harms show five carbon alkyl groups exemplified as B₁ and example 59 exemplifies a six carbon alkyl isomer as B_1 . Each should have been compared

Serial Number: 08/801,327

Art Unit:1751

to all of the five and six carbon isomers used as the B_1 component in applicants' presently claimed dyestuffs. Since said alkyl chains are clearly disclosed by Harms, comparisons of each of the bridge members for which a patent is solicited must be made to the closest isomers in Harms' examples. The five member isomers must be compared to five member isomers; the six member isomers to six member isomers for it to be a true comparison of the closest art.

Claims 11-12 are allowable over the prior art of record.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory

Art Unit:1751

period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

****Applicant is advised to note the new art unit number and refer to the new number in any further communications regarding this application***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is (703) 308-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Lieberman, can be reached on (703) 308-2523. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Sector receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MARGARET EINSMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER 1751

Margareblinsmann

August 25, 1998